


Encoding Circuit



The Encoding Problem (Revisited)

- Linearity makes the encoding problem a lot easier, yet:
How to construct the G (or H) matrix of a code of minimum distance d_{\min} ?
- The general answer to this question will be attempted later. For the time being we will state the answer to a class of codes: the Hamming codes.

Hamming Codes

- Hamming codes constitute a class of single-error correcting codes defined as:

$$n = 2^r - 1, k = n - r, r > 2$$

- The minimum distance of the code $d_{\min} = 3$
- Hamming codes are perfect codes.
- Construction rule:


The H matrix of a Hamming code of order r has as its columns all non-zero r -bit patterns.

Size of H: $r \times (2^r - 1) = (n - k) \times n$

Decoding

- Let \mathbf{c} be transmitted and \mathbf{r} be received, where

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e}$$



$\mathbf{e} \equiv$ error pattern $= e_1 e_2 \dots e_n$, where

$$e_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the error has occurred in the } i^{th} \text{ location} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The weight of \mathbf{e} determines the number of errors.

If the error pattern can be determined, decoding can be achieved by:

$$\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{e}$$

Decoding (cont'd)

Consider the (7,4) code.

(1) Let 1101000 be transmitted and 1100000 be received.

Then: $\mathbf{e} = 0001000$ (an error in the fourth location)

(2) Let $\mathbf{r} = 1110100$. What was transmitted?

| | \mathbf{c} | \mathbf{e} |
|----|--------------|--------------|
| #2 | 0110100 | 1000000 |
| #1 | 1101000 | 0011100 |
| #3 | 1011100 | 0101000 |

The first scenario is the most probable.

Standard Array

correctable error patterns

| | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| | c_0 | c_1 | c_2 | \dots | c_{2^k-1} |
| \swarrow | $e_1 + c_0$ | $e_1 + c_1$ | $e_1 + c_2$ | \dots | $e_1 + c_{2^k-1}$ |
| \rightarrow | $e_2 + c_0$ | $e_2 + c_1$ | $e_2 + c_2$ | \dots | $e_2 + c_{2^k-1}$ |
| | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | | \vdots |
| \swarrow | $e_{2^{n-k}-1} + c_0$ | $e_{2^{n-k}-1} + c_1$ | $e_{2^{n-k}-1} + c_2$ | | $e_{2^{n-k}-1} + c_{2^k-1}$ |

Standard Array (cont'd)

1. List the 2^k codewords in a row, starting with the all-zero codeword c_0 .
2. Select an error pattern \mathbf{e}_1 and place it below c_0 . This error pattern will be a correctable error pattern, therefore it should be selected such that:
 - (i) it has the smallest weight possible (most probable error)
 - (ii) it has not appeared before in the array.
3. Repeat step 2 until all the possible error patterns have been accounted for. There will always be $2^n / 2^k = 2^{n-k}$ rows in the array. Each row is called a *coset*. The leading error pattern is the *coset leader*.

Standard Array Decoding

- For an (n,k) linear code, standard array decoding is able to correct exactly 2^{n-k} error patterns, including the all-zero error pattern.
- Illustration 1: The $(7,4)$ Hamming code
of correctable error patterns = $2^3 = 8$
of single-error patterns = 7
Therefore, all single-error patterns, and only single-error patterns can be corrected. (Recall the Hamming Bound, and the fact that Hamming codes are perfect.)

Standard Array Decoding (cont'd)

Illustration 2: The (6,3) code defined by the H matrix:

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c_1 = c_5 + c_6$$

$$c_2 = c_4 + c_6$$

$$c_3 = c_4 + c_5$$

Codewords

000000

110001

101010

011011

011100

101101

110110

000111

$$d_{\min} = 3$$

Standard Array Decoding (cont'd)

- Can correct all single errors and one double error pattern

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 000000 | 110001 | 101010 | 011011 | 011100 | 101101 | 110110 | 000111 |
| 000001 | 110000 | 101011 | 011010 | 011101 | 101100 | 110111 | 000110 |
| 000010 | 110011 | 101000 | 011001 | 011110 | 101111 | 110100 | 000101 |
| 000100 | 110101 | 101110 | 011111 | 011000 | 101001 | 110010 | 000011 |
| 001000 | 111001 | 100010 | 010011 | 010100 | 100101 | 111110 | 001111 |
| 010000 | 100001 | 111010 | 001011 | 001100 | 111101 | 100110 | 010111 |
| 100000 | 010001 | 001010 | 111011 | 111100 | 001101 | 010110 | 100111 |
| 100100 | 010101 | 001110 | 111111 | 111000 | 001001 | 010010 | 100011 |

The Syndrome

- Huge storage memory (and searching time) is required by standard array decoding.
- Define the syndrome
$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{H}^T = (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e})\mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{e}\mathbf{H}^T$$
- The syndrome depends only on the error pattern and not on the transmitted codeword.
- Therefore, each coset in the array is associated with a unique syndrome.

The Syndrom (cont'd)

| Error Pattern | Syndrom |
|---------------|---------|
|---------------|---------|

| | |
|---------|-----|
| 0000000 | 000 |
| 1000000 | 100 |
| 0100000 | 010 |
| 0010000 | 001 |
| 0001000 | 110 |
| 0000100 | 011 |
| 0000010 | 111 |
| 0000001 | 101 |

Syndrome Decoding

Decoding Procedure:

1. For the received vector \mathbf{v} , compute the syndrome $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{vH}^T$.
2. Using the table, identify the error pattern \mathbf{e} .
3. Add \mathbf{e} to \mathbf{v} to recover the transmitted codeword \mathbf{c} .

Example:

$$\mathbf{v} = 1110101 \implies \mathbf{s} = 001 \implies \mathbf{e} = 0010000$$

Then, $\mathbf{c} = 1100101$

- Syndrome decoding reduces storage memory from $n \times 2^n$ to $2^{n-k}(2n-k)$. Also, It reduces the searching time considerably.

Decoding of Hamming Codes

- Consider a single-error pattern $\mathbf{e}^{(i)}$, where i is a number determining the position of the error.
- $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{e}^{(i)} \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{H}_i^T$ = the transpose of the i^{th} column of \mathbf{H} .
- Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Decoding of Hamming Codes (cont'd)

- That is, the (transpose of the) i^{th} column of H is the syndrome corresponding to a single error in the i^{th} position.
- Decoding rule:
 1. Compute the syndrome $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{vH}^T$
 2. Locate the error (*i.e.* find i for which $\mathbf{s}^T = \mathbf{H}_i$)
 3. Invert the i^{th} bit of \mathbf{v} .

Hardware Implementation

- Let $\mathbf{v} = v_0 \ v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3 \ v_4 \ v_5 \ v_6$ and $\mathbf{s} = s_0 \ s_1 \ s_2$

- From the \mathbf{H} matrix:


$$s_0 = v_0 + v_3 + v_5 + v_6$$

$$s_1 = v_1 + v_3 + v_4 + v_5$$

$$s_2 = v_2 + v_4 + v_5 + v_6$$

- From the table of syndromes and their corresponding correctable error patterns, a truth table can be constructed. A combinational logic circuit with s_0, s_1, s_2 as input and $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6$ as outputs can be designed.

Decoding Circuit for the (7,4) HC



v rather than r